

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

DAVONTAE SANFORD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MICHAEL RUSSELL and JAMES TOLBERT,
in their individual capacities, jointly and severally,

Case No. 17-cv-13062
Hon. David M. Lawson
Magistrate Judge R. Whalen

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF FROM
REFERRED TO HIS MICHIGAN COURT
OF CLAIMS SETTLEMENT**

Defendants.

NEUFELD SCHECK & BRUSTIN, LLP

By: Barry Scheck

Nick Brustin

Emma Freudenberger

Meghna Philip

Bettina Roberts

Amelia Green

Len Kamdang

Attorneys for Plaintiff

99 Hudson Street, 8th Floor

New York, New York 10013

P: (212) 965-9081

F: (212) 965-9084

E: barry@nsbcivilrights.com

nick@nsbcivilrights.com

emma@nsbcivilrights.com

meghna@nsbcivilrights.com

bettina@nsbcivilrights.com

amelia@nsbcivilrights.com

lkamdang@nsbcivilrights.com

CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTMENT

By: Jerry L. Ashford (P47402)

Krystal A. Crittendon (P49981)

Brandon McNeal (P81300)

Attorneys for Defendants

2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

P: (313) 237-3089

F: (313) 224-5505

E: ashfj@detroitmi.gov

crtk@detroitmi.gov

mcnealb@detroitmi.gov

GOODMAN HURWITZ & JAMES, P.C.

By: William H. Goodman (P14173)

Julie H. Hurwitz (P34720)

Kathryn Bruner James (P71374)

Huwaida Arraf (NY 4707220)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1394 East Jefferson Avenue

Detroit, Michigan 48207

P: (313) 567-6170

E:bgoodman@goodmanhurwitz.com

jhurwitz@goodmanhurwitz.com

kjames@goodmanhurwitz.com

harraf@goodmanhurwitz.com

SEWARD HENDERSON PLLC

By: T. Joseph Seward (P35095)

Michael T. Berger (P77143)

Michael A. Knoblock (P77544)

Attorneys for Defendants,

Michael Russell and James Tolbert

210 East 3rd Street, Suite 212

Royal Oak, Michigan 48067

P: (248) 733-3580

F: (248) 733-3633

E: jseward@sewardhenderson.com

mberger@sewardhenderson.com

mknowblock@sewardhenderson.com

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF FROM REFERRING TO HIS
MICHIGAN COURT OF CLAIMS SETTLEMENT**

Defendants, **MICHAEL RUSSELL** and **JAMES TOLBERT**, by and through their undersigned counsel, and in support of their motion rely upon the attached brief. Defendants sought concurrence with Plaintiff during a phone conference and via email. Plaintiff denied concurrence.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ T. Joseph Seward (P35095)

SEWARD HENDERSON PLLC

Attorneys for Defendants

210 East 3rd Street, Suite 212

Royal Oak, Michigan 48067

P: (248) 733-3580

F: (248) 733-3633

E: jseward@sewardhenderson.com

Date: February 20, 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

DAVONTAE SANFORD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MICHAEL RUSSELL and JAMES TOLBERT,
in their individual capacities, jointly and severally,

Case No. 17-cv-13062
Hon. David M. Lawson
Magistrate Judge R. Whalen

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF
FROM REFERRING TO HIS MICHIGAN
COURT OF CLAIMS SETTLEMENT

Defendants.

NEUFELD SCHECK & BRUSTIN, LLP

By: Barry Scheck

Nick Brustin

Emma Freudenberger

Meghna Philip

Bettina Roberts

Amelia Green

Len Kamdang

Attorneys for Plaintiff

99 Hudson Street, 8th Floor

New York, New York 10013

P: (212) 965-9081

F: (212) 965-9084

E: barry@nsbcivilrights.com

nick@nsbcivilrights.com

emma@nsbcivilrights.com

meghna@nsbcivilrights.com

bettina@nsbcivilrights.com

amelia@nsbcivilrights.com

lkamdang@nsbcivilrights.com

CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTMENT

By: Jerry L. Ashford (P47402)

Krystal A. Crittendon (P49981)

Brandon McNeal (P81300)

Attorneys for Defendants

2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

P: (313) 237-3089

F: (313) 224-5505

E: ashfj@detroitmi.gov

crtk@detroitmi.gov

mcnealb@detroitmi.gov

GOODMAN HURWITZ & JAMES, P.C.

By: William H. Goodman (P14173)
Julie H. Hurwitz (P34720)
Kathryn Bruner James (P71374)
Huwaida Arraf (NY 4707220)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
1394 East Jefferson Avenue
Detroit, Michigan 48207
P: (313) 567-6170
E:bgoodman@goodmanhurwitz.com
jhurwitz@goodmanhurwitz.com
kjames@goodmanhurwitz.com
harraf@goodmanhurwitz.com

SEWARD HENDERSON PLLC

By: T. Joseph Seward (P35095)
Michael T. Berger (P77143)
Michael A. Knoblock (P77544)
Attorneys for Defendants,
Michael Russell and James Tolbert
210 East 3rd Street, Suite 212
Royal Oak, Michigan 48067
P: (248) 733-3580
F: (248) 733-3633
E: jseward@sewardhenderson.com
mberger@sewardhenderson.com
mknowblock@sewardhenderson.com

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF FROM
REFERRING TO HIS MICHIGAN COURT OF CLAIMS SETTLEMENT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Index of Authorities	ii
Issues Presented.....	iii
Introduction	1
Statement of Facts.....	1
Law & Argument.....	2
Conclusion.....	3

INDEX OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Watkins v. Healy,
No. 17-CV-13940, 2018 W.L. 1471479 (E.D. Mich., March 26, 2018) 3

Statutes

Mich. Comp. Laws § 691.1751..... 1, 2
Mich. Comp. Laws § 691.1755..... 2, 3

Rules

Fed. R. Evid. 401 2
Fed. R. Evid. 403 2

ISSUE PRESENTED

I. Whether the Court should preclude Sanford from offering any evidence regarding his Michigan Court of Claims Settlement?

Defendants state “Yes”

Sanford states “No”

INTRODUCTION

Sanford sued the State of Michigan under the Wrongful Imprisonment Compensation Act, Mich. Comp. Laws § 691.1751 et seq. The State of Michigan settled that claim. Defendants expect that Sanford will try to offer that settlement into evidence in an effort to try to convince the jury that he had no involvement quadruple homicide in issue. This Court should exclude that settlement from evidence because the Act specifically states that an award under it is inadmissible in civil litigation. The settlement is not relevant either, and would cause jury confusion, would mislead the jury, and evidence of the settlement would waste the jury's and the Court's time.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Sanford brings this lawsuit, claiming that he was wrongfully convicted for a quadruple homicide that occurred on Runyon Street on the Northeast side of Detroit. (Dk. 1 – Complaint). He was arrested on September 18, 2007 and pled guilty to four counts of second degree murder and a count of felony firearms. (Ex. 1 - Plea Deal). Sanford moved to withdraw his plea on the basis that he was innocent, but that request was denied by the criminal court due to significant evidence supporting the conclusion that Sanford was guilty of the murders. (Ex. 2 - Supp. Mot. to Withdraw Plea; Ex. 3 - 2012 Opinion and Order Denying Motion to Withdraw Plea). Sanford moved for relief from judgment in 2015, which the prosecutors eventually stipulated to. (Ex. 4 - Motion for Relief from Judgment; Ex. 5 - Stipulation). The Criminal Court agreed to dismiss

Sanford's case *without prejudice* and wrote an opinion pointing to evidence that demonstrated that Sanford was guilty of the murders. (Ex. 6 - 2016 Opinion).

Sanford was released from prison on June 8, 2016. (Dk. 1 – Complaint, ¶ 20). He filed suit against the State of Michigan on July 26, 2017, under Michigan Wrongful Imprisonment Compensation Act, Mich. Comp. Laws § 691.1751 et seq. The State of Michigan settled that case for \$408,356.16 on December 21, 2017. (Ex. 7 - Court of Claims Judgment).

LAW & ARGUMENT

Sanford will try to introduce his Court of Claims award as evidence that he is innocent of the quadruple homicides in question. However, “[a]n award of compensation under [the Wrongful Imprisonment Compensation Act] is not a finding of wrongdoing against anyone. An award of compensation under [the Wrongful Imprisonment Compensation Act] is not admissible in evidence in a civil action that is related to the investigation, prosecution, or conviction that gave rise to the wrongful conviction or imprisonment.” Mich. Comp. Laws § 691.1755(7). Therefore, Sanford may not produce any evidence of his Court of Claims settlement in this case.

Furthermore, the settlement and associated proceedings are irrelevant under Rule 401 and more prejudicial than probative under Rule 403 because it is not a prior adjudication of innocence. In a Wrongful Imprisonment Compensation Act case, the Plaintiff needs to prove the following by clear and convincing evidence: new evidence that demonstrates that the plaintiff did not perpetrate the crime and was not an

accomplice or accessory to the acts that were the basis of the conviction. Mich. Comp. Laws § 691.1755. Indeed, the proofs necessary for an award under that statute are different than the proofs needed in the criminal court, they are different standards. *Watkins v. Healy*, No. 17-CV-13940, 2018 W.L. 1471479, at *3 (E.D. Mich., March 26, 2018).

CONCLUSION

The Court should exclude any evidence that Sanford received a settlement from the State of Michigan under the Wrongful Imprisonment Compensation Act.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ T. Joseph Seward (P35095)
SEWARD HENDERSON PLLC
Attorneys for Defendants
210 East 3rd Street, Suite 212
Royal Oak, Michigan 48067
P: (248) 733-3580
F: (248) 733-3633
E: jseward@sewardhenderson.com

Date: February 20, 2019

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing and this Certificate of Service with the Clerk of the Court, using the Court's E-filing system that will send notification to all counsel of record, on **February 20, 2019**.

/s/ Mallorie M. Blaylock
SEWARD HENDERSON PLLC
210 East 3rd Street, Suite 212
Royal Oak, Michigan 48067
P: (248) 733-3580
F: (248) 733-3633
E: paralegal@sewardhenderson.com